

102 THE TRAVANCORE SRI CHITRA STATE COUNCIL. [30TH JANUARY 1935.]

Rao Sahib Dr. N. Kunjan Pillai : I said I claim notice.

Mr. M. N. Paramesvaran Pillai : May I know whether any member can say that he has a right to say that the member of a particular community must be entertained in a particular department?

President : I suppose it is a matter in which each community will best judge for itself and it is for the Government to see how far their requests could be satisfied. It is a very big question on which supplementary questions and answers may not be exhaustive.

Mr. M. N. Paramesvaran Pillai : May I know whether Government recognise any such claims to be valid?

Rao Sahib Dr. N. Kunjan Pillai : Claim for what?

Mr. M. N. Paramesvaran Pillai : For appointment in a particular department.

Rao Sahib Dr. N. Kunjan Pillai : Government have only recognised that all communities should be given equal opportunities for service under Government.

Mr. Kochikal P. Balakrishnan, Tampi (Mavelikara and Kartikapali cum Kunnauttu) : May I know whether Latin Catholics are particularly trained for Secretarial work?

Rao Sahib Dr. N. Kunjan Pillai : I can't say.

Mr. Tariatu Kunjilomman (Nominated) : ലാറ്റിൻകാത്തോലിക്കർ ഇല്ലെന്നു പറയുന്നു. വേറെ ഏതെങ്കിലും സമുദായക്കാർ ഈ ജോലിക്കു പ്രത്യേക പരിശീലനം സ്വീകരിച്ചിട്ടുള്ളവരാണ്?

Rao Sahib Dr. N. Kunjan Pillai : മുൻചോദ്യത്തിനു പരിശീലനം ഉത്തരം തന്നെയാണ് ഈ ചോദ്യത്തിന്നു ഉത്തരം.

Mr. Komilezhathu Sankaran : May I know whether he is aware that certain communities were not employed in the Secretariat as clerks some years back?

Rao Sahib Dr. N. Kunjan Pillai : I am not aware.

Settlement Memorandum submitted by the Special Officer.

25. ***Mr. K. N. Kesavan Namputiripad (Jenmies) :** Will the Government be pleased to state :

(a) whether the special officer appointed for the purpose of preparing a settlement memorandum has submitted the memorandum to Government ;

(b) if so, when ; and

(c) whether they will be pleased to lay a copy of the same on the table?

Mr. A. Kumara Pillai : (a) Yes.

(b) 17th October, 1934.

(c) This will be considered.

Mr. M. N. Paramesvaran Pillai : What is the total expenditure incurred by Government on the Special Officer and the staff for the purpose of preparing a settlement memorandum?

Mr. A. Kumara Pillai : I claim notice.

The Ramalingam Case.

26 ***Mr. N. K. Padmanabha Pillai** (*Neyyattinkara cum Nedumangad*) : Will the Government be pleased to state :

(a) whether they are aware of the judgment of Mr. Mack I. C. S., Sessions Judge, Tinnevely, in the security proceedings case of one Ramalingam of Trivandrum casting aspersions on the conduct of the Travancore Police ; and

(b) whether they have made any enquiry into the conduct of the officers involved therein, and, if so, what?

Rao Bahadur N. Ramanuja Aiyangar : (a) Yes.

(b) The matter is engaging the attention of the Government. They have since obtained a copy of the judgment also.

Mr. Komilezhathu Sankaran : May I know whether this Ramalingam is included in the K. D. list?

Mr. M. N. Paramesvaran Pillai : I rise to a point of order. May I know whether another member can put questions before the member who is in charge of the interpellation has asked a question?

President : I thought the member did not get up. If the member wants he can ask questions.

Mr. N. K. Padmanabha Pillai : Sir, I have nothing to ask.

Mr. Komilezhathu Sankaran : May I know whether Ramalingam's name is included in the K. D. list?

Rao Bahadur N. Ramanuja Aiyangar : It is a confidential matter.

President : The information regarding K. D. list cannot be placed on the floor of the House.

Mr. Komilezhathu Sankaran : Is the member aware that Ramalingam has been convicted in British India or Ceylon at any time?

Rao Bahadur N. Ramanuja Aiyangar : Yes.